

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 * * *

4 DANTE B. MAGDALUYO, JR.,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 MGM GRAND HOTEL, LLC,

8 Defendant.
9

Case No. 2:14-cv-01806-APG-GWF

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
RECONSIDER AND MOTION TO
STRIKE**

(ECF Nos. 182, 183)

10 Plaintiff Magdaluyo filed a motion asking me to reconsider my previous denial of his
11 motion for peremptory challenge. ECF No. 182. Defendant MGM moves to strike Magdaluyo's
12 motion for reconsideration. ECF No. 82. Both motions are denied.

13 Magdaluyo offers nothing to cause me to reconsider or change my earlier decision.
14 MGM argues that Magdaluyo's motion is improper and prejudicial. I have denied Magdaluyo's
15 motion and it is not prejudicial. Therefore, MGM's request to strike is moot.

16 IT IS ORDERED that Magdaluyo's motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 182) is
17 **DENIED.**

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that MGM's motion to strike (ECF No. 183) is **DENIED.**

19 DATED this 3rd day of July, 2017.

20 
21 ANDREW P. GORDON
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28